



SUMMARY PRESENTATION



STents And Radiation Therapy 40 (START 40)

Purpose: To evaluate the safety and effectiveness of beta radiation using a ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y source with a wider therapeutic margin to the PTCA injury site than what was administered in the original START trial (START 30).

eta-Cath





Design: Prospective, multi-center (22 sites in N. America & Europe), registry clinical trial.

ANGIOGRAPHIC OUTCOMES



Analysis Segment

*30mm Source Train shown in illustration



Late Loss Index

8 Month Angiographic QCA Analysis



Frequency of Geographic Miss







8 Month Safety Results							
PARAMETER	ST-30	PLACEBO	ST-40				
Death	3 (1.2%)	1 (0.4%)	5 (2.4%)¹				
МІ	4 (1.6%)	7 (3.0%)	9 (4.3%)				
Q-wave	0	Ο	З				
non-Q-wave	7	4	6				
Aneurysm ²	1 (0.5%)²	0 (0%)	1 (0.7%)²				
Thrombosis							
In-hospital - 30 days	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)				
31 - 240 days	0 (0%)³	0 (0%)	2 (1.0%)⁴				
Angiographic							
Total Occlusions	8 (3.3%)	7 (3.0%)	5 (3.3%)				
 * p = NS for all Placebo versus ST-30 versus ST-40 1.3 of 5 deaths were not related to the target vessel 2. No new aneurysm formation; present at baseline, without significant change at follow-up 3. One patient adjudicated by CEC had thrombosis at day 244 4. One patient had an event at day 31 							

Summary Comparison Start 30 versus Start 40

- Compared to the START 30 population, START 40:
 - patients were older and on an average had more unstable angina, and more prior treatments for in-stent restenosis
 - had similar RVD and lesion length
- Compared to START 30 placebo, START 40:
 - reduced restenosis in the analysis segment by 44% (vs 36% in ST 30)

- reduced TLR by 50%,	p=0.002	(vs 42% in	ST 30)
-----------------------	---------	------------	--------

- reduced TVR by 34%, p=0.03 (vs 34% in ST 30)
- reduced MACE by 26%, p=0.10 (vs 31% in ST 30)

Start 40 Conclusions

- Continues to support the efficacy of Sr-90 Beta radiation for the treatment of in-stent restenosis
- Shows no significant deleterious effects of adding 10mm of length to the source train
- Supports the lack of a relationship between Geographic Miss and clinical or angiographic outcome for in-stent restenosis





START 30 AND 40 COMPARISON

What's the Same...

- Patient selection criteria
- Indications including balloon injury \leq 20mm
- Endpoints
- Subset of same clinical centers
- Data Analysis Centers

What's Different...

- Registry
- 40mm Radiation Source Train used to treat all patients
- Expect a longer radiation margin (+ 10mm margin on each end)

DOSIMETRY METHODS

Dose Prescription

Dose prescribed at a point 2 mm from center of source axis based on visual assessment of reference vessel diameter (RVD):

• 18.4* Gy in RVD $\ge 2.7 - \le 3.3$ mm

• 23* Gy in RVD > 3.3 - \leq 4.0 mm *NIST dose, March 2000

PROCEDURE DETAILS

Adjunctive Devices

%	Placebo	ST 30	ST 40
DCA	0.9	0.0	0.5
RA	39.8	43.9	22.0*
ELCA	7.4	5.7	12.7**
New Stents***	19.8	20.9	15.3

p < 0.001 ST 40 vs. ST 30 and Placebo

** p = 0.01 ST 40 vs. ST 30

*** "Bail-out" stent use reserved for severe residual stenoses or dissection after radiation delivery

INCLUSION/EXCLUSION CRITERIA

Major Inclusion Criteria

- Single lesion, single vessel intervention
- In-stent restenosis > 50% (by visual assessment)
- Target lesion in vessels between 2.7 and 4.0 mm RVD
- Target lesion length treatable with 20 mm balloon with the 40 mm Source Train

Major Exclusion Criteria

- Multi-vessel coronary intervention
- Target lesion residual stenosis > 30%
- Unprotected left main disease
- Prior chest radiotherapy

BASELINE FINDINGS

ST 30	Placebo	ST 40
<u>n=244</u>	<u>n=232</u>	<u>n=207</u>
61.5	61.1	64.4
68.4	63.4	66.7
30.7	32.3	26.3
12.5	8.1	9.0
46.7	47.8	42.2
21.4	23.7	20.8
73.8	78.9	85.0
52.5	57.0	38.8
33.9	32.5	44.3
13.6	9.2	16.4
CS		
2.76	2.77	2.77
0.98	0.98	0.92
64.2	64.2	66.6
16.3	16.0	17.4
43.2	41.3	44.7
	ST 30 <u>n=244</u> 61.5 68.4 30.7 12.5 46.7 21.4 73.8 52.5 33.9 13.6 SS 2.76 0.98 64.2 16.3 43.2	ST 30Placebo $n=244$ $n=232$ 61.5 61.1 68.4 63.4 30.7 32.3 12.5 8.1 46.7 47.8 21.4 23.7 73.8 78.9 52.5 57.0 33.9 32.5 13.6 9.2 276 2.77 0.98 0.98 64.2 64.2 16.3 16.0 43.2 41.3

p-value 0.003 (placebo vs ST 40); 0.01 (ST 30 vs ST 40)
 p-value NS (placebo vs ST 40); 0.004 (ST 30 vs ST 40)
 p-value 0.0002 (placebo vs ST 40); 0.004 (ST 30 vs ST 40)
 p-value 0.012 (placebo vs ST 40); 0.03 (ST 30 vs ST 40)
 p-value 0.025 (placebo vs ST 40); NS (ST 30 vs ST 40)
 p-value 0.025 (placebo vs ST 40); NS (ST 30 vs ST 40)
 p-value 0.075 (placebo vs ST 40); 0.15 (ST 30 vs ST 40)

Best Vascular, Inc. 4350 International Blvd, Suite A Norcross, Georgia 30093 Tel: +1 770-717-0904 Fax: +1 770-717-1283

Rovoste, Beta-Cath and the Beta-Cath System design logo are trademarks of Best Vascular, Inc. U.S. Patent Numbers 5,683,345; 5,899,882 and 6,013,020. Other patents pending. © 2000 Best Vascular, Inc. All rights reserved

1-800-NOVOSTE (1-800-668-6783) www.novoste.com